IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 1806 of 1998

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals? : NO

SNEHAL DERASARI

Versus

SOHAN DERASARI

Appearance:

MS ROOPAL R PATEL for the Petitioner.

MS MEGHA JANI for the Respondent.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

Date of decision: 05/04/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Ms.Patel, learned Advocate for the petitioner, states that the parties have settled their matrimonial dispute and, therefore, it is not necessary now to proceed with the Civil Revision Application.

In view of the aforesaid development, by which the parties have settled their dispute, as well as considering the fact that, now, copy of the consent terms, which were submitted before the Family Court, is placed on the record of this CRA, in my view, nothing further is required to be done in this matter. Accordingly, this CRA is disposed of as having become infructuous. Rule is discharged. Interim relief is vacated. No order as to costs.

5th April, 2004 (P.B. Majmudar, J.)

****

(apj)